

# Properties of the Correlation Coefficient via a Scalar Product in the Vector Space of Random Variables



Horst Hohberger

## 1 Vector Space Structure

Given a sample space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, P)$  we may consider the set of all random variables

$$X: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

on that space. Let us denote this set by  $V$ . Then  $V$  contains, for example, the *constant random variables*

$$X_c: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad X_c(p) = c \quad \text{for all } p \in S,$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a fixed constant (any element of the sample space is mapped to the same number  $c$ ). For simplicity, we often denote  $X_c$  simply by  $c$ . We can add two elements  $X, Y \in V$  by defining

$$(X + Y)(p) := X(p) + Y(p)$$

and we can multiply with a real number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$(\lambda X)(p) = \lambda \cdot X(p).$$

(These are the usual *point-wise* definitions for sums and scalar multiples of functions.) In this way,  $V$  becomes a real vector space.

If we want to have  $V$  include only discrete or only continuous random variables, we can modify these definitions accordingly.

**Remark 1.** We can also multiply two random variables, defining

$$(X \cdot Y)(p) := X(p) \cdot Y(p).$$

## 2 Expectation

For a discrete random variable  $X$  with density  $f_X$  we define the *expectation*

$$E[X] := \sum_{x \in \text{ran } X} x \cdot f_X(x) \quad (1)$$

and, more generally, if  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function, we find that

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x \in \text{ran } X} g(x) \cdot f_X(x). \quad (2)$$

If  $X$  is a continuous random variable, the analogous definition is

$$E[g(X)] := \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) \cdot f_X(x) dx.$$

**Remark 2.** Of course,  $Y = g(X)$  is a random variable in its own right with density  $f_Y$ . It can be shown that  $E[g(x)]$  by (2) and  $E[Y]$  by (1) coincide.

We immediately see that if  $X$  is a constant random variable,

$$E[X_c] = c$$

and that

$$E[\lambda \cdot X] = \lambda \cdot E[X].$$

Given two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  (either both discrete or both continuous), it can be shown that

$$E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].$$

We postpone the proof of this relation.

### 3 Almost Sure Equality and Partition of $V$

We will say that two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are equal *almost surely* if

$$P[X \leq x] = P[Y \leq x] \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We then write  $X = Y$  a.s.

In particular, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are discrete random variables with common domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  and densities  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$ , respectively, this implies

$$\sum_{z \leq x} f_X(z) = \sum_{z \leq x} f_Y(z).$$

from which we can deduce  $f_X(x) = f_Y(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are continuous random variables, then  $X = Y$  almost surely means that

$$\int_{-\infty}^x f_X(z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^x f_Y(z) dz$$

in which case  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$  may differ on sets of measure zero.

We can define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $V$  by saying that  $X$  and  $Y$  are equivalent ( $X \sim Y$ ) if they are equal almost surely. We then denote the set of equivalence classes (partition) by  $V/\sim$ , which is of course again a vector space.

### 4 Chebyshev Inequality

A random variable  $X$  is said to be *positive* if  $P[X < 0] = 0$ . In that case,  $f_X(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ .

**Example 1.** If  $X$  is a random variable, then  $Y = X^2$  is positive, since

$$P[Y \leq y] = P[X^2 \leq y] = \begin{cases} 0 & y < 0 \\ P[-\sqrt{y} \leq X \leq \sqrt{y}] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $X$  be a positive random variable and  $y > 0$  be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_y^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx \geq \int_y^{\infty} y f_X(x) dx \\ &= y \cdot P[X \geq y] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain a version of the Chebyshev inequality,

$$P[X \geq y] \leq \frac{E[X]}{y}$$

We would like to prove the following result:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a positive random variable and suppose that  $E[X] = 0$ . Then  $X = 0$  almost surely.*

*Proof.* We first note that

$$P[X < 0] + P[X = 0] + P[X > 0] = 1.$$

Since  $P[X < 0] = 0$  by assumption, it remains to show  $P[X > 0] = 0$ . Then  $P[X = 0] = 1$ , which means that  $X = 0$  almost surely.

Note that  $X > 0$  is equivalent to stating that  $X > 1/n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and so

$$\{p \in S : X(p) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} \{p \in S : X(p) > 1/n\}$$

By Boole's inequality ( $P[A \cup B] \leq P[A] + P[B]$ ), this implies that

$$P[X > 0] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[X > 1/n].$$

Applying Chebyshev's inequality,

$$P[X \geq 1/n] \leq \frac{E[X]}{y} = 0.$$

and so  $P[X > 0] = 0$ . □

## 5 A Scalar Product on $V/\sim$

Recall that a scalar product on a real vector space  $V$  is a map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the properties

- i)  $\langle u, u \rangle \geq 0$ ,
- ii)  $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$ ,
- iii)  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$ ,
- iv)  $\langle u, \lambda v \rangle = \lambda \cdot \langle u, v \rangle$ ,
- v)  $\langle u, v + w \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, w \rangle$

for all  $u, v, w \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We now claim that

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := E[XY] \tag{3}$$

defines a scalar product on the set  $V/\sim$ . We will show this for the case of  $V$  being the set of continuous random variables only; the discrete case is completely analogous.

- i)  $\langle X, X \rangle := E[X^2] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 f_X(x) dx \geq 0$  since  $f_X(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- ii)  $X = 0$  implies  $E[X^2] = E[0] = 0$ . Conversely,  $E[X^2] = 0$  implies  $X = 0$  almost surely (since  $X^2$  is positive,  $X^2 = 0$  almost surely by Lemma 1 and hence  $X = 0$  almost surely). But then  $X = 0$  in  $V/\sim$ .
- iii)  $E[XY] = E[YX]$
- iv)  $E[X(\lambda Y)] = E[\lambda XY] = \lambda E[XY]$
- v)  $E[X(Y + Z)] = E[XY + XZ] = E[XY] + E[XZ]$ .

Since (3) defines a scalar product on a vector space, we know that the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality holds,

$$E[XY]^2 \leq E[X^2] \cdot E[Y^2]$$

and, in particular,

$$E[XY]^2 = E[X^2] \cdot E[Y^2] \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X = \lambda \cdot Y \quad \text{a.s. for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Remark 3.** Should we need to recall a proof of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, here is one:

Let  $u, v$  be arbitrary vectors in a vector space. Write  $\|u\| := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$ ,  $e := v/\|v\|$ . Then  $\langle e, e \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle / \|v\|^2 = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|u - \langle e, u \rangle e\|^2 = \langle u - \langle e, u \rangle e, u - \langle e, u \rangle e \rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 - |\langle e, u \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 = \|v\|^2 \cdot |\langle u, e \rangle|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 \cdot \|v\|^2.$$

Suppose that

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 = \|u\|^2 \cdot \|v\|^2.$$

This is equivalent to  $|\langle e, u \rangle|^2 = \|u\|^2$  or  $\|u - \langle e, u \rangle e\| = 0$ , which in turn means that

$$u = \langle e, u \rangle e = \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} v.$$

## 6 Variance and Covariance

From the point of view of linear algebra,  $E[X^2]$  defines the square of the norm of  $X$ , i.e., it gives a measure of the size of  $X$ . However, this has little meaning in probability theory. What is of interest, instead, is the size of the difference between  $X$  and its mean  $\mu := E[X]$ . Therefore, we define

$$\text{Var}[X] := E[(X - \mu)^2]$$

(which is the square of the norm of the difference between  $X$  and the constant random variable  $X_\mu$ .) The standard deviation  $\sigma$  is defined to be the positive square root of the variance and is therefor analogous to the norm of  $X - \mu$ . We often use  $\sigma^2$  to denote the variance.

**Remark 4.** In probability theory, taking an analogy to mechanics, one calls  $E[X]$  the *first moment* of  $X$ ,  $E[X^2]$  the *second moment* and generally  $E[X^k]$  the *kth moment* of  $X$ . When the mean is subtracted, the corresponding moment is said to be *centered*. Trivially, the centered first moment vanishes,  $E[X - \mu] = 0$ . Hence, the variance is the centered second moment.

It is sometimes useful to note that

$$\text{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2.$$

and that

$$\text{Var}[\lambda \cdot X] = \lambda^2 \cdot \text{Var}[X], \quad \text{Var}[X_c] = 0 \quad \text{for a constant random variable } X_c.$$

Given two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , we define the covariance of  $X$  and  $Y$  through the scalar product

$$\langle X - \mu_X, Y - \mu_Y \rangle = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] =: \text{Cov}[X, Y].$$

It is then easy to check that

$$\text{Var}[X + Y] = \text{Var}[X] + \text{Var}[Y] + 2 \text{Cov}[X, Y].$$

as would be expected from linear algebra. As for the variance, it is not difficult to show that

$$\text{Cov}[X, Y] = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y].$$

We standardize a random variable by subtracting its mean and dividing by the standard deviation, where we use that

$$\text{Var}[X - \mu] = \text{Var}[X] = \sigma^2,$$

i.e., a standardized  $X$  is given by

$$\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}.$$

This corresponds to a normalized vector (of unit length) in linear algebra. Note that  $\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$  has mean zero and unit variance, so

$$E \left[ \left( \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] = \text{Var} \left[ \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \right] + E \left[ \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \right]^2 = 1.$$

## 7 Correlation Coefficient

In linear algebra, the scalar product is a measure for the angle between vectors. In probability theory, the corresponding concept is called *correlation*. Given two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , we define the correlation coefficient  $\varrho_{XY}$  by

$$\varrho_{XY} := \text{Cov} \left[ \frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}, \frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right] = \frac{\text{Cov}[X, Y]}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we see immediately that

$$\varrho_{XY}^2 \leq E \left[ \left( \frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \right)^2 \right] E \left[ \left( \frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 \right] = 1$$

and  $\varrho_{XY}^2 = 1$  if and only if

$$\frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} = \lambda \cdot \frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \quad \text{a.s. for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence,  $|\varrho_{XY}| = 1$  if and only if for some  $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$Y = \beta_1 X + \beta_0$$

almost surely.